

Serial No. 09/784,801

Attorney Docket No. PF02049NA

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 through 16 are pending in this application. Claims 3 and 10 are hereby canceled without prejudice or disclaimer and, thus, claims 1, 2, 4 through 9, and 11 through 16 remain.

Also, claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are hereby amended.

Claims 1, 2, 4 through 9, and 11 through 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,366,780 to Obhan, et al. ("Obhan, et al. patent"). Also, claims 3 and 10 (now canceled) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Obhan, et al. patent in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,330,451 to Sen, et al. ("Sen, et al."). Further, claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Obhan, et al. patent in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,324,399 to Salmivalli, et al. ("Salmivalli, et al. patent").

Claims 1 and 8 as amended provide, *inter alia*, a two-step process for providing communication services to a plurality of communication devices over one or more radio frequency channels. An operator specifies a desired minimum availability to a resource controller, and the resource controller picks a system parameter, uses an algorithm to calculate a boundary for the system parameter, and controls the system parameter in the communication resource. Support for the above added recitation is provided at pages 5, 9 and 10 of the specification.

In contrast, the Obhan, et al. patent, the Sen, et al. patent and the Salmivalli, et al. patent do not describe or suggest the two-step process of amended claims 1 and 8. Therefore, claims 1

Serial No. 09/784,801

Attorney Docket No. PF02049NA

and 8 distinguish patentable from the Obhan, et al. patent, the Sen, et al. patent, the Salmivalli, et al. patent, and any combination of these patents.

Claims 2, 4 through 7, 9, and 11 through 16 depend from and include all limitations of independent claims 1 and 8 as amended. Therefore, claims 2, 4 through 7, 9, and 11 through 16 distinguish patentably from the Obhan, et al. patent, the Sen, et al. patent, the Salmivalli, et al. patent and any combination of these patents for the reasons stated above for amended claims 1 and 8.

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4 through 9, and 11 through 16 are respectfully requested.

#### CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is


Serial No. 09/784,801

Attorney Docket No. PF02049NA

respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (HDW)  
600 North US Highway 45, AN475  
Libertyville, IL 60048

Respectfully submitted,  
Dorenbosch, Jheroen Pieter

  
Hisashi D. Watanabe 11/11/03  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 37,465  
Telephone: (847) 523-2322  
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350

Date